

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,536		11/21/2003	Michael Chad Hollis	56709.000013	7980
21967	7590	10/03/2005		EXAM	INER
HUNTON	& WILL	IAMS LLP	CHOI, STEPHEN		
INTELLEC'	TUAL PR	ROPERTY DEPARTI	MENT	ART UNIT	
1900 K STR	1900 K STREET, N.W.				PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1200	)		3724		
WASHING	ron, do	20006-1109			_

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/717,536	HOLLIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen Choi	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wince the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Au	aust 2005.						
· ·	action is non-final.						
,							
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
•	the application						
4) Claim(s) 1-5,29-31 and 52-54 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-5,29-31 and 52-54 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been received in Application	on No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	•	d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/13/04,12/10/03</u> .	6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/717,536

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group IV in the reply filed on 08 August
 acknowledged.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the use of the phrase "may be" and "can be" should be avoided since it is confusing whether the recitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 29, 31, and 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schoene et al. (US 20040089125).

Schoene discloses all the recited elements of the invention including a base assembly with a top surface (7), a fence assembly mounted to the base assembly with a front surface positioned above the top surface of the base assembly (13'), a saw support assembly rotatably mounted to the base assembly to rotate relative to the base assembly about a first rotational axis (at 120), a saw unit having a saw blade (17), and a

Application/Control Number: 10/717,536

Art Unit: 3724

bevel angle locking actuator rotating in unison with the saw support assembly about the first rotational axis (110). Regarding claim 3, via 112. Regarding claim 31, a lower arm (portion of 120, portion of 110 extends generally parallel to portion of 120 when it is in a locked position). Regarding claim 5, an eccentric surface (cammed surface of 110) and a linkage (e.g., 121). Regarding claim 54, a bevel locking linkage (e.g., 121).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoene.

Schoene discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the elongated lever formed from stamped sheet metal. However, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to form the lever from stamped sheet metal because applicant has not disclosed the lever formed from stamped sheet metal provides an advantage, is used for particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected Schoene's lever and applicant's lever, to perform equally well since both would perform the same function. It has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/717,536

Art Unit: 3724

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shiotani et al., Suzuki et al., and Garuglieri are cited to show related devices.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

27 September 2005

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER